

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

May 4, 1999

LB 880

quite the case. Now when we did 1114, and then last year we added some aid to counties, we still reduced overall county spending across the state by a little over \$9 million. Last year counties cut \$9 million. At the same time, NRDs raised their spending by 14 percent and they were not affected by the levy limitations of 1114. In addition to the impact on the counties, they had to absorb a variety of miscellaneous political subdivisions. Not only did they suffer a reduction in their aggregate levy from 50 cents to 45 cents, but then within the 45 cents, they had to accommodate levies for such things as an historical society, if you had one of those, and agricultural society, if you had one of those, a fire district, if you had one of those, and the list went on. Counties were, indeed, impinged by 1114. NRDs were not. And even if we left things as they are now, they would still not be impinged by 1114. Now they might or might not make the choice to make up the losses from the motor vehicle taxes with additional property taxes. They might or might not. And the same thing is true for the counties, they might or might not make the choice to make up with property taxes the dollars that they're going to lose in state aid. So you may have some increase in property taxes no matter which way you turn because one of them may make up for the reduction in state aid with property taxes. But I am not confident that it is fair or that it is appropriate to impinge further on the counties when they have already borne their share of the burden for complying with 1114, and the associated policy choices. And there has been no affect on NRDs at all. So then we, in effect, say to NRDs we're going to completely hold you harmless but we're going to affect the one political subdivision that we've already impinged. Now some people will argue that we're giving the counties too much out of motor vehicle taxes. They say that they get, I think I've heard the term they are going to get a windfall of \$14 million. Well, they are not going to get a windfall. What they get is money from one source rather than another source and they will have to lower their property taxes when those extra dollars come in. The other difficult thing about adding money to the NRD formula is that the NRD formula is constructed in such a way is that we will reward those NRDs with the very highest taxes in the aid formula, and I'm not sure that's a policy that we want to advance. We've had policies that in the past are directed at greater equalization or at least rewarding those who had lower